UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,640	07/15/2003	Hideki Sugiura	240356US0	5239
22850 7590 07/14/2008 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			DOTE, JANIS L	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/14/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com Art Unit: 1795

- 1. The "Amendment to the claims" section filed on Jun. 27, 2008, does not amend or cancel claims. It merely provides a clean copy of the previously filed "Amendment to the claims" section on Dec. 28, 2007. Therefore, pending claims 1, 3-14, and 16-18 stand rejected for the reasons discussed in the Final rejection, mailed on Apr. 9, 2008, paragraphs 5-8.
- 2. Applicants' arguments filed on Jun. 27, 2008, after the mailing of the final rejection on Apr. 9, 2008, are not persuasive. For the reasons discussed in the Final rejection mailed on Apr. 9, 2008, paragraph 9, the Rule 132 declaration filed on Feb. 14, 2008, is insufficient to show that the Konya complex oxide particle diameters do not have a standard deviation σ that satisfies the relation R/4 < σ < R recited in the instant claims. In addition, applicants' allegation that Konya does not satisfy the above relation based on a Gaussian distribution in the response filed on Jun. 27, 2008, is mere attorney argument. Applicants have not provided any credible objective evidence to support their allegation. There is no evidence on the present record to show that the Konya particle size distribution range of 40 to 180 nm is fairly represented by the Gaussian distribution as alleged by applicants. Rule 132 filed on Feb. 14, 2008, page 3, states that "[a] number

Application/Control Number: 10/618,640 Page 3

Art Unit: 1795

average particle diameter and standard deviation cannot be exactly found from the range of particle size distribution" disclosed in Konya. The arguments filed Jun. 27, 2008, do not explain why the Gaussian distribution is expected to be accurate. Accordingly, the rejections of claims 1, 3-14, and 16-18 over Konya set forth in the Final rejection, paragraphs 5-8, stand.

/Janis L. Dote/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795